## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

+ + + + +

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

+ + + + +

## POINT BEACH LICENSE RENEWAL

+ + + + +

TUESDAY

JUNE 15, 2004

+ + + + +

MISHICOT, WISCONSIN

+ + + + +

The Point Beach License Renewal Public Meeting met at The Fox Hills Resort, 250 West Church Street,
Mishicot, Wisconsin at 6:59 p.m., CHIP CAMERON, Special
Counsel, presiding.

## PRESENT FOR THE NRC:

CHIP CAMERON
JOHN TAPPERT
BARRY ZALCMAN
P.T. KUO

P	R	0	C	E	E	D	I	N	$G_{-}$	S

2	(6:59 P.M.)
3	MR. CAMERON: We have the official Two Rivers timekeeper
4	here so we're going to get started. Yes, I think it's a good idea.
5	Good evening, everyone. My name is Chip Cameron and I'm
6	the Special Counsel for Public Liaison at the Nuclear Regulatory
7	Commission, the NRC. And welcome to the NRC meeting tonight.
8	Our subject tonight is the environmental review that the
9	NRC is going to perform as part of its evaluation of an application
10	that we received from the Nuclear Management Corporation to renew the
11	operating licenses for the Point Beach Nuclear Plant Units 1 and 2.
12	And I'm going to serve as your facilitator tonight, and in that role,
13	I'll try to assist you in having a productive meeting.
14	I just want to say a couple of words about meeting
15	process issues before we get into the substance of tonight's
16	discussion. First of all, our format tonight is going to be a two-
17	part format. And during the first part, we're going to have a brief
18	NRC presentation on the background of license renewal, and
19	specifically the environmental process connected to our license
20	renewal review process. And then we'll go on to you to see if there's
21	any questions that we can answer for you about the process.
22	The second part of the meeting is to give you an
23	opportunity to give us any advice, recommendations, comments on what
24	types of information and issues we should look at in doing our
25	environmental review. And we'll give you an opportunity to make a

more formal comment to us and either come up to the podium here or I can bring you this cordless microphone. Whatever you say tonight is going to count as much as any written comment that we have received.

In a few minutes, the NRC is going to tell you how to submit written comments on these issues, but we are taking a transcript tonight. Stuart Karoubas is our transcriber and that will be a record of the meeting with your comments in it and it will be available to the public if you want to see what happened either in tonight's meeting or we did a meeting this afternoon also.

In terms of ground rules, they're very simple. When we get to the question and answer period, if you have a question, just signal me and I'll bring you the cordless mike, give us your name and affiliation if appropriate and we'll try to do our best to answer your question. I would ask that only one person speak at a time. I don't think that's going to be a problem for us, but we do want to get a clean transcript and we do want to pay attention to whomever has the floor at the time.

And generally, try to keep it brief and to the point when we get to the formal public comment part of the meeting. If you could follow a guideline of five to seven or so minutes, that will make sure that we have a chance to hear from everybody. I don't think that that will be a problem, again, but if you could follow that, that might be helpful but don't worry too much about it.

In terms of our presenters from the NRC tonight, first of all we're going to hear from John Tappert who is going to give you

- 1 sort of an overall context on the license renewal process. And John
- 2 is the Chief of the Environmental Impacts Section within our License
- 3 Renewal and Environmental Impacts Program. And John and his staff,
- 4 they're responsible for preparing any of the environmental reviews
- 5 that are done on a reactor licensing issue such as license renewal,
- 6 early site permits or anything else.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

And John has been with the NRC for approximately 14

8 years. He has been a resident inspector for the NRC, and as many of

9 you know, the resident inspectors are NRC employees who actually are

10 located at the reactor site to make sure that NRC regulations are

11 complied with. Before John came to the NRC, he was in the nuclear

12 navy. And in terms of education, he has a Bachelor's degree from

13 Virginia Tech in Aeronautical and Ocean Engineering, and a Master's

degree from Johns Hopkins University in Environmental Engineering.

- Then we're going to go to really the substance of the NRC process. And we have Mr. Barry Zalcman right here who is acting as the project manager for the environmental review on this license renewal application. And Barry is in John's section and he's been with the Agency for 25 years. And in that time, he has been involved with a lot of issues and a lot of the cutting edge issues in the development of the Commission's regulatory framework for the regulation of nuclear reactors: reactor siting issues, the environmental review process and also emergency planning.
- He is going to give you the overview of the process.
- Barry was with a company called Dames & Moore, environmental

- 1 consulting company before he came to the Commission. His
- 2 undergraduate work was in environmental sciences and his graduate work
- 3 was in meteorology and something called geophysical fluid dynamics at
- 4 Rutgers University.
- 5 And with that, I would -- well, let me introduce one
- 6 other person who is a senior NRC official who is with us tonight, and
- 7 that's Mr. P.T. Kuo. P.T. is the Branch Chief, the head of the
- 8 License Renewal and Environmental Impact Program at the NRC. And
- 9 that's where all of the license renewal work gets done, be it on the
- 10 environmental side or be it on the safety evaluation side. So, thank
- 11 you for being here, P.T.
- DR. KUO: Thank you.
- 13 MR. CAMERON: And I would just thank all of you for
- 14 being here with us tonight. And we look forward to hearing the
- presentation and answering questions and hearing from you. And John?
- 16 MR. TAPPERT: Thank you, Chip. And good evening,
- 17 everyone, and welcome. And for those of you who attended our matinee
- 18 session, welcome back.
- 19 My name is John Tappert. And on behalf of the Nuclear
- 20 Regulatory Commission, I'd like to thank everyone for coming out here
- 21 tonight to participate in this process. I hope that the information
- that we will share with you today will be helpful. We look forward to
- 23 receiving your comments both today and in the future.
- 24 I'd like to start today by going over the purposes and
- agenda of today's meeting. First of all, Barry is going to give you

an overview of the entire license renewal process. This includes both the safety review as well as an environmental review which will be the principal focus of today's meeting. I'll give you some more details on that environmental review which will assess the impacts associated with extending the operating licenses of Point Beach Units 1 and 2 for an additional 20 years. Then I'll give you some information about the balance of our review schedule and how you can contact us in the future.

And then we get to the real purpose of why we're having this meeting here tonight, which is to receive your comments. But before we get to that overview, let me give you some brief context for the regulatory framework of license renewal.

The Atomic Energy Act gives the NRC the authority to issue operating licenses to commercial nuclear power plants for a period of 40 years. For Point Beach Units 1 and 2, those operating licenses will expire in 2010 and 2013 respectively. Our regulations also make provisions for extending those operating licenses for an additional 20 years as part of our license renewal program. And NMC has requested license renewal for both units.

As part of the NRC's review of that application, we will be developing an environmental impact statement. Right now, we're in the very early phases of that review and what we call scoping which is when we seek to identify those issues which will require the greatest focus during our review. And this public meeting here tonight is an important part of that scoping process to help identify those issues.

1	After we do our preliminary analysis, we will publish a
2	draft environmental impact statement next January. And soon
3	thereafter, we will return here to have another set of public meetings
4	to seek your comments on our review.
5	And that, in a nutshell, is what we are about to do, but
6	Barry is going to give you more of the overview, and then we look
7	forward to your comments.
8	MR. ZALCMAN: Thank you, John. Welcome, everybody. As
9	John indicated, I'm the acting project manager for the Point Beach
10	license renewal environmental review. I'll be introducing some other
11	folks that are with us here tonight, additional resources to assist if
12	there are questions. We can call upon them as well. You may want to
13	chat with them after the meeting if there is an area of interest where
14	they have a particular expertise.
15	Some of the additional NRC staff work in the Washington,
16	DC area with me; some are in the regional office located in Chicago.
17	Just as you are aware from Chip's introductory remarks, we also have
18	resident inspectors that are housed at the facility conducting
19	inspections there day in and day out. In addition to that, we also
20	have a team of experts that are working with us from a number of
21	National Laboratories. And those folks are from Los Alamos National
22	Lab, Lawrence Livermore National Lab and Argonne National Laboratory.
23	With that, let me start off with Stacey Imboden. Stacey
24	is with us tonight. She is a project manager in our staff. She'll be

assisting me for the moment in the scoping process. Also with us is

- Paul Schumann. Paul is the project team leader from Los Alamos

  National Lab. He and a team of experts will assist the staff in
- 3 conducting the environmental review.

With that, let me also express my appreciation for the time you are willing to spend with us tonight. And hopefully we'll have some meaningful discussion and dialogue. You are essential stakeholders in this process. We generally look forward to your contribution. We often characterize it as we may be experts in our field but we don't have the local expertise. There's insights and information that perhaps you can share with us about the environmental arena that we're coming into as we conduct our environmental review.

me talk about some items about the NRC, provide a little more background, talk about the NRC's mission. Talk about the important distinction between the safety review and the environmental review, and hopefully to provide a context to help you better understand why we're here today and what we hope to accomplish.

The NRC's mission is threefold: (1) to protect the public health and safety; (2) to provide for common defense and security; (3) and to protect the environment. The first two, protecting public health and safety and providing for common defense and security are responsibilities that we enjoy under the Atomic Energy Act. They are safety issues. Protecting the environment is our responsibility in implementing the National Environmental Policy Act.

1	The NRC accomplishes this mission through a variety of
2	different regulatory programs and processes. Some of these include
3	inspection activities, enforcement actions, assessment of performance
4	and evaluation of operating experience. In some respects the NRC
5	license renewal process is quite similar to the original licensing of
6	the facility in that we conduct both a safety review as well as an
7	environmental review. The one important aspect that's fundamentally
8	different: the facility is already operating, and if it's allowed to
9	operate, then it complies with NRC regulatory requirements.

The NRC's safety responsibility is an ongoing responsibility. The NRC is always involved in reviewing current operating issues. So, as we conduct the license renewal review, the NRC considers both the current operating issues and aging management issues. Current operating issues are those already covered by the NRC's regulatory oversight under the current operating license. So, these safety issues are considered routinely whether or not a license holder seeks to renew its license.

These are the issues of today, and the NRC expects them to be addressed today. Consequently, the Commission determined that the license renewal review must frame its focus on the issues of tomorrow. And from a safety perspective, that is the aging management issues, and that is new programs that must be put in place to be able to maintain the equipment through the renewal period.

The NRC expects that the well-established safety programs dealing with, as examples, preventative maintenance,

emergency planning, security and others, will continue to comply with

existing NRC regulatory requirements, and therefore, will continue to

be subject to the existing NRC regulatory program. As a result, these

4 programs need not be assessed as part of a license renewal review.

And we talk about that process now. License renewal, the safety review focuses on aging management issues and the new programs necessary to maintain equipment. The kinds of issues subject to license renewal review include the long-lived passive components of the plant but are not covered routinely under existing preventative maintenance programs. NRC staff compiles the results of its review in a Safety Evaluation Report (SER). In conjunction with the SER, the NRC conducts safety audits and conducts the safety inspections to verify the adequacy of the aging management programs.

The SER is then subject to the scrutiny and independent evaluation of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS).

The ACRS is a group of academic and industry experts that serve as direct consultants to the Commission. The committee itself was established by the Atomic Energy Act to provide technical advice to the Commission.

The Commission determined that the NRC's environmental review should be thorough and should involve the public. Staff evaluation of the environmental impacts of license renewal cover the full range of issues which include the biological sciences, the physical sciences, the social sciences and the radiological sciences.

NRC compiles the staff report with the results of its review in an

1 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). This report is then subject to 2 the scrutiny and independent review of experts like you.

environmental impacts was established by the National Environmental

Policy Act. And the implementing regulations for the executive branch

were established by the US Environmental Protection Agency and the

President's Council on Environmental Quality. Since the NRC is an

independent regulatory agency, that is, we're not part of the

executive branch, we voluntarily implemented environmental protection

regulations, and for the most part our regulations are consistent with

the rest of the federal family.

environmental impact statement is the scoping process. And that's why we're here today and that's why we're seeking your input. As John indicated, the scoping process allows us to frame the issues that would be reviewed and to engage you directly to receive your insights on the environmental issues that you believe are important for this review.

This slide provides a consolidated description of the license renewal process. It's the same slide that we provided to you at the NRC's license renewal information meeting that was held in this area in March of this year. As you can see, the process involves the two parallel paths that I've discussed. The upper portion is the safety review and the lower portion the environmental review.

NRC has a team of about 30 NRC technical reviewers and

contractors who are conducting the safety review right now. Let me introduce Mike Morgan who is the Safety Project Manager. He is my counterpart on this project. Mike made the presentation to you back in the March time frame. We did that early in the review process so you could decide how you want to participate or if you want to participate with us as we conduct our review.

- It's Mike's side of the house that conducts the safety review, focusing on the effectiveness of the proposed aging management programs that were contained in the license renewal application. Mike and his team reviews the proposed aging management programs to ensure that safety can be maintained throughout the license renewal period that is going from 40 to 60 years. The SER documents results of the staff review, as I discussed, a copy of that is provided to the ACRS for their independent evaluation.
- The safety review process also involves audits and onsite inspections. These inspections will be conducted by a team of inspectors from both NRC headquarters as well as NRC's regional office in Chicago. Representatives from our inspection program are also here with us today. Tony Vegel and Laura Kozak are here from Region III in Chicago.
- Results of the license renewal inspection will be documented in a separate inspection report.
- Now, the focus of today's activities. The lower portion of the slide, the environmental review. The scoping process will help refine the scope of issues as we develop the environmental impact

- statement to decide whether or not the operating license should be
  renewed. And just so you know that we're not starting with an empty
  slate, the Commission gave considerable thought to this process
  already, considered many of the environmental issues that may be
  involved with license renewal, and took a hard look at what came to be
- 6 about a hundred environmental issues.

We did that to determine whether or not some of the issues and their environmental impacts were common or generic to all plants. This effort took over five years and it helps us now focus on those unique issues that could only be resolved on a site-specific basis. Consequently, the EIS we'll develop for Point Beach will be a site-specific environmental impact statement and will take the form of a site-specific supplement to the GEIS or the Generic Environmental Impact Statement.

The GEIS is also known as NUREG-1437 and the sitespecific supplement for Point Beach will be Supplement Number 23.

From that, it should be apparent that we've completed a number of these already. The supplement or SEIS will be issued for public comment. We'll come back here to give you an opportunity to share your views with us on the draft, we'll reflect on the comments that you offer and make adjustments where necessary before we issue the Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement in final form.

So, as you can see from this slide, the final Agency decision on whether to approve or to deny the application for license renewal will factor in a number of items: the safety review and the

- 1 safety evaluation report; environmental review and the environmental
- 2 impact statement; the inspection reports issued by our regional
- 3 office; and the reporting out of their findings by the ACRS.
- I want to draw your attention to the bursts on this
- 5 slide because they indicate the opportunities for public
- 6 participation. The first opportunity is during this meeting. We'll
- 7 distill the information you share with us and carry forward those that
- 8 are in scope in the environmental review. The next opportunity for
- 9 public involvement during the environmental review is when we share
- 10 the results of our report and request that you comment on the Draft
- 11 EIS.
- 12 Separately from the technical reviews, if a petition was
  13 filed to intervene on this action, and the petitioner, either an
- individual or a group, has demonstrated its interest and adequately
- details its concerns, then a hearing may be granted by the Atomic
- Safety Licensing Board (ASLB) or by the Commission itself. The window
- of opportunity to request the hearing just ended. While we're aware
- 18 that there were no electronic filings, we'll be checking the mail to
- determine whether or not a petition was actually filed.
- 20 Unlike some other types of licensing actions, as
- 21 examples, a new site approval or a new construction approval, a
- hearing is not mandatory for license renewal. An NRC hearing is a
- formal legal process presided over by a panel of three administrative
- 24 judges. And we also have a member from the General Counsel's Office
- with us today, Ann Hodgdon. She's one of several staff attorneys that

- 1 assist the technical staff on legal and hearing issues.
- 2 So, in the end, if a hearing is granted, then the
- 3 schedule for the license renewal decision process runs about 30
- 4 months. If there is no hearing, it should take about 22 months.
- Okay. Now, I'll provide you with a little more on NEPA,
- 6 the National Environmental Policy Act. We'll continue on to the
- 7 environmental decision standard, the environmental review that we plan
- 8 to conduct, our schedule and your points of contact.
- 9 The National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA)
- 10 requires that federal agencies follow a systematic approach in
- 11 evaluating potential environmental impacts associated with certain
- 12 actions. As a regulatory agency, the NRC issues licenses. The
- issuance of or amendment to a license is a federal action. Some
- 14 actions that fall into a certain category such as administrative
- actions are not subject to environmental review. For other types, the
- 16 NRC is required to consider the impact of proposed actions and ways to
- 17 mitigate or reduce the consequences of those actions if they could be
- 18 significant.
- The NRC staff is required to consider alternatives to
- 20 proposed actions. In this case, the proposed action is license
- 21 renewal, and the alternatives must include among others a no-action
- 22 alternative. In other words, the NRC must consider the environmental
- impacts of disapproving the proposal as part of its review. The NRC
- would consider the impacts of, for example, new plant construction as
- an alternative to replace the electrical energy supply when Point

- Beach would cease operations. Therefore, NEPA leads to informed
- 2 decision-making.
- 3 As part of our review, we'll also look at cumulative
- 4 impacts. After all, there is another nuclear power plant just up the
- 5 road in Kewaunee.
- 6 The National Environmental Policy Act and our
- 7 environmental impact statements are disclosure tools. They are
- 8 specifically structured to involve public participation. This scoping
- 9 process and particularly this type of meeting that we're conducting is
- our way of facilitating your participation in the environmental review
- 11 process. Other agencies have different ways of implementing NEPA.
- 12 The Commission has determined that an environmental
- impact statement will be prepared as part of our review of license
- renewal applications for all nuclear power plants. An EIS affords the
- 15 public the greatest level of participation in the NRC's environmental
- 16 review for a licensing action.
- So, in preparing the EIS, this and other opportunities
- 18 exist to provide your insights. We look forward to listening to your
- views on the significant environmental issues that should be analyzed
- in depth. We are now gathering the information to develop the EIS.
- 21 We're looking to identify whether there are special issues that the
- 22 staff should consider for the proposed renewal of Point Beach Units 1
- 23 and 2.
- 24 As I indicated earlier, having developed the GEIS that
- addressed the number of issues that were common to all nuclear plants,

it was issued in 1996. So, we're also looking to identify whether
there is new and significant information related to those resolved
issues to determine whether such information would have a bearing on
the earlier conclusion. A number of issues can only be resolved on a
site-specific basis. So, we're looking for information that's unique
to the site and surrounding area that could be affected by a decision
to renew the Point Beach operating licenses.

- This slide states the decision standard for the environmental review drawn directly from our rules. Simply stated, we are to determine whether the impacts of operation for another 20 years are so great that we should preclude renewal. An important element of this decision standard is the recognition that the NRC does not dictate whether the plant will operate. That decision is left to the license holder and the response of the Public Service Commission and others.
- In our portion of the license renewal review, the NRC will only determine whether or not the plant can operate. But even that is not sufficient to operate because there are a myriad of other federal and state requirements, licenses and permits that must be in proper order to operate Point Beach. Our NEPA review and the Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement will disclose the status of all of those permits.
- This slide now expands the lower portion of the earlier schematic. It illustrates the environmental review in greater detail and gives you a sense of the schedule that the review will take place.

1	We received the application from Nuclear Management
2	Company on February 26 <sup>th</sup> of this year. On May 13 <sup>th</sup> , we issued a Federal
3	Register Notice indicating our intent to prepare an environmental

4 impact statement and to begin the scoping process. The formal notice

5 started a 60-day clock defined as the scoping period.

At the end of the scoping period which is July 14<sup>th</sup>, we'll compile all the comments received and determine whether they are within or outside the scope of the environmental review. And if they are in scope, then we'll carry them forward. We'll be issuing a scoping summary report that will address all the comments that we'll receive from you today and throughout the scoping period.

In the next several days, the NRC environmental staff and its team of experts from your National Laboratories are conducting a site environmental audit to gather information to assist us in the environmental review. If during our review we require additional information from the applicant beyond the information that already exists in the public domain, then we'll issue a request for additional information. Our schedule calls for that request to be issued in early August and we would expect a response by November.

Thereafter, we expect to publish the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement by January of next year. At a midpoint in the 75-day public comment period which is in February of next year, we expect to come back, share our findings with you and seek your comment on the draft. Finally, after considering your comments, we expect to publish the Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement in

final form in September of next year.

Okay. As I wind down, this slide shows some of the

sources of information that will help us frame our review. In

addition to our environmental audit activities, we'll be communicating

with federal, state, tribal and local governmental officials as well

as local service agencies. Some of these interactions have already

occurred and some will occur later in the week and during the course

of our review.

We consider all the comments received from the public.

As Chip indicated earlier, a comment that you make today will have exactly the same standing as a comment that we may receive in writing.

I mentioned earlier that we established an environmental review team made up of NRC staff and National Lab experts. The NRC staff alone has over a hundred years experience on this team, both in the environmental and radiological arena. This slide gives you a feel for the number of areas that the experts will evaluate as part of the review. They include terrestrial and aquatic ecology, air and water quality, land and water use, radiation protection, meteorology and hydrology, cultural resources, archaeology, socio-economics and the like. The EIS will be a comprehensive assessment involving all of these disciplines.

So, let me recap a couple of the key milestone dates in our schedule. The scoping period ends on July 14<sup>th</sup>. There may be something that you hear today that causes you to reflect upon an issue, so even if you offer comments today you can send in additional

the GEIS or the Point Beach site-specific EIS for license renewal will be issued in January 2005 for a 75-day public comment period. And

comments by the July 14th date. The draft of Supplement Number 23 to

again, we'll come back probably in this same location to meet with you

5 again. After considering your comments on the draft and resolving

6 them as we conduct our final review, the completion of the

7 environmental impact statement, it will be issued in final form in

8 September of 2005.

primary point of contact with the NRC. When I'm finished with this, we'll give it back to Chip to give you the opportunity to ask questions on the process and this environmental review and the schedule. A number of us will be staying here after the meeting. If you see anybody with a badge on their outfit, they will be happy to take questions from you then. As well, if something comes up after the comment portion of this meeting, we'd like a little followup.

After you leave, if you have questions, then you can call on me and we'll get the right people to talk with you.

The slide also identifies where documents related to our review may be found in the local area. Staff at the Lester Public Library have graciously agreed to make the license renewal application available for public access. Additional information will be developed during the course of our review and they've agreed to capture that information as well. They have a file cabinet set aside outside the librarian's desk at the reference desk area.

1	The Draft Environmental Impact Statement will also be
2	available at the Lester Public Library. They will also be available
3	on our web site: www.nrc.gov. And as you came in tonight and
4	registered with Audrey or Stacey, you were asked to fill out a card.
5	And if you included your address on that, we'd be happy to send a copy
5	of the draft to you as well. If you didn't, we'll be happy to take
7	your name after the meeting.

Finally, in addition to providing comments at this meeting, there are other ways you can submit comments for our environmental review. You can mail them directly to the Chief of the Rules and Directives Branch at NRC. You can deliver them if you happen to be in the Rockville, Maryland area. We would be happy to meet with you there. We've established a specific email address at the NRC for the purpose of receiving your comments. That email address is PointBeachEIS@nrc.gov.

And as I close out, there is one last important item.

As you walked in tonight, there was a form in that packet, it was a pre-addressed franked form. We're trying to get feedback on the effectiveness in our presentations as we conduct our public interactions. If you have an opportunity to fill that out, that would help us in shaping the information that we provide to the public and allows you to have meaningful interactions with us.

So, with that, let me turn you back to Chip, and again, thank you so much for spending your time with us tonight. I look forward to a healthy dialogue.

1	MR. CAMERON: Thank you very much, Barry. Do we have
2	questions for Barry about the license renewal process? Yes, sir? And
3	please give us your name and affiliation if you have one.
4	MR. NIKOLAI: John Nikolai, just a concerned citizen. I
5	guess you have approximately what, 16 or 17 license renewal
6	applications ongoing in the United States at present, right?
7	MR. ZALCMAN: I think the count
8	MR. NIKOLAI: Or a little more in there?
9	MR. ZALCMAN: I think the count is nine.
10	MR. NIKOLAI: Nine now?
11	MR. ZALCMAN: Nine are going on simultaneously.
12	MR. NIKOLAI: I'm really leading up to the aging of the
13	plant basically. Have any of those plants been in operation much
14	longer than the Point Beach Plant that you know of?
15	MR. ZALCMAN: Well, let me give you a little bit on the
16	framework of the rule itself. The rule requires that an applicant
17	must have at least 20 years of operation before submitting their
18	application. So, the applications that we have been receiving at
19	least have 20, unless there are some peculiar situations like a sister
20	plant had 20 but another unit at the same location may have had 19 or
21	18.
22	The key is to gain sufficient understanding of the aging
23	mechanisms already in place at the facility. And the 20-year period
24	was a meaningful time frame to have experience prior to submitting a
25	license renewal application. Some may be in the 30-year range, some

- 1 may be closing in on the 40-year range, but all have at least 20 years
- of experience prior to submitting their application.
- 3 MR. NIKOLAI: Have you actually renewed licenses at some
- 4 of the nuclear plants in the United States as of this time for an
- 5 additional, you know, 20 years or 10 years or 15 years?
- 6 MR. ZALCMAN: Well, let me refer to a point that I made
- 7 earlier. This is the  $23^{rd}$  supplement that we're working on. We have
- 8 already granted the renewed licenses for certainly more than a dozen.
- 9 It may be, I don't know, P.T. has the exact count.
- DR. KUO: Let's see. We have about -- as to last count,
- I believe, we have probably nearer to 23 units.
- MR. NIKOLAI: So, out of all our plants, you have
- renewed 23 so far?
- DR. KUO: So far.
- MR. NIKOLAI: And you have nine including Point Beach in
- 16 the works?
- DR. KUO: Not including Point Beach.
- MR. NIKOLAI: Not including.
- DR. KUO: Right.
- MR. NIKOLAI: So, it would be ten with Point Beach.
- DR. KUO: The ones that we have issued that renewed the
- 22 licenses already.
- 23 MR. NIKOLAI: Already, you renewed the licenses for 23
- 24 plants?
- DR. KUO: Yes, I believe that's the number.

1 MR. NIKOLAI: Right. And with Point Beach you have ten 2 licenses up for renewal. The reason I'm asking this, I'm just kind of 3 figuring in my mind what the percentages are of approval. I realize 4 that site-specific is very important in this. 5 DR. KUO: Right now we have 14 units under review. 6 MR. NIKOLAI: Okay. Thank you very much. 7 DR. KUO: You're welcome. 8 MR. CAMERON: Does that answer your question? 9 MR. NIKOLAI: Pretty much. 10 MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Mr. Nikolai. 11 MR. ZALCMAN: Let me just expand on that just so you 12 understand. We've been talking about plants which could be multiple 13 units. Right, multiple units. So, Dr. Kuo was referring to 23 units 14 have already been licensed. 15 We have not, if you're asking if we have rejected a 16 license renewal application yet, to date we have not. Although in the 17 early stages of developing this process, one of the pilot facilities 18 that thought that they would seek license renewal elected to shut down 19 rather than continue operation much less seek license renewal. So, it 20 is a robust, a very detailed review that we go through. 21 MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Mr. Nikolai. Are there any 22 other questions that anybody has either about the process or specific 23 application of the process like Mr. Nikolai's question? 24 Okay. Well, we're going to go to -- thank you very

much, Barry. We're going to go to the formal comment part of the

25

- 1 meeting and we'll check in with you later to see if there's any
- 2 additional questions that you might have. And we're going to go to
- 3 local officials first.
- 4 And first, we're going to go to the Sheriff's
- 5 Department, Mr. Robert Hermann of the Sheriff's Department, Manitowoc
- 6 County. Mr. Hermann, would you like to use this or come up to the
- 7 podium? Okay, great. Thank you.
- 8 MR. HERMANN: Okay. First, what I'd like to do is read
- 9 just a prepared statement from our Sheriff. And basically what it
- 10 says is:
- "Ladies and gentlemen: Since late 1979, the Manitowoc
- 12 County Sheriff's Department has worked to develop a close working
- relationship with Point Beach Nuclear Plant staff. This came about as
- the world changed and a need for law enforcement and security to work
- for a common goal was identified. In the following years, we
- 16 identified needs of the plant relating to security with plant
- 17 personnel. The system was fine-tuned to meet the needs of the
- 18 operation."
- 19 "Supporting each other as our resources have allowed, we
- 20 have shared numerous training activities, equipment, and provided
- 21 extra patrol both on land and water. The power plant has provided
- needed equipment and allowed us to train at the facility."
- 23 "Point Beach has proven to be a good neighbor and an
- asset to the Manitowoc County community. We look forward to a lasting
- 25 relationship and encourage license renewal. Sincerely, Kenneth J.

- Peterson, Sheriff, Manitowoc County.\*
- I would just like to add to that that, you know, my
- 3 personal experience, I've been with the Sheriff's Department,
- 4 currently I am the Under Sheriff for Manitowoc County. And my
- 5 personal experience with the nuclear plant has been very positive. I
- 6 have participated in numerous joint training exercises there and just
- 7 had great cooperation. We've assisted a lot as far as providing
- 8 additional law enforcement to the plant in their times of need and the
- 9 cooperation has been great. So, thank you.
- MR. CAMERON: Okay, thank you, Under Sheriff Hermann.
- 11 Next, we're going to hear from Mr. Dan Pawlitzke. And Dan is the
- 12 economic development supervisor for the City of Two Rivers.
- MR. PAWLITZKE: Thanks for letting us comment. I have
- this, you know, three or four-phased area basically, the introduction,
- past, present, future and then a conclusion statement. And then, I'll
- 16 just give this to the appropriate NRC individuals for the inclusion in
- 17 the record.
- 18 Basically, the City of Two Rivers offers its support for
- 19 Point Beach Nuclear Power Plant license renewal for Unit No. 1 which
- needs to be renewed as indicated before October 5th, 2010, and Unit 2
- 21 which needs to be renewed by March 8th, 2013. The City of Two Rivers
- 22 has passed a resolution of support of Point Beach Nuclear Power
- license renewal dated April 5th, 2004. Such resolution of support is
- 24 attached from the Two Rivers City Council.
- In the past, Point Beach Nuclear Power Plant has called

lifestyle. Point Beach Nuclear Power Plant started in 1969 and brought 100 employees and has seen a 700 percent increase in its workforce to the existing 700 employees in 2004. Energy production is a significant employer in our community now and hopefully will be well

Two Rivers home since 1969 and has come to be vital to our economy and

6 into the future. These are high quality jobs that are hard to find in

7 today's economy. Nuclear energy in general, and Point Beach in

particular, have proven to be safe, efficient and an economical source

of electricity.

Getting on to the present, the city fathers who are the leaders, the decision makers of the community find that Point Beach Nuclear Power has operated safely and efficiently and provides clean, affordable nuclear generated electrical power to our homes, businesses and factories. Point Beach Nuclear Power is an environmentally friendly source of electrical base load power as compared to other forms of electrical generation. As you know, Point Beach Nuclear Power generates 1,036 Megawatts of electrical power which is 20 percent of WE Energies generation and almost 17 percent of all power in the State of Wisconsin. That's a lot of power. The state as a whole cannot afford to lose 17 percent of its total capacity.

The economic impact of the 700 employees at Point Beach Nuclear Power can be felt in the local communities where they live.

69 percent of Point Beach Nuclear Plant employees live in Manitowoc County. Of that, 32 percent live in Two Rivers who are employed, 24 percent live in Manitowoc and 8 percent right here in Mishicot.

1	Manitowoc County has been hit hard on the economic front
2	by having factories close at a fast pace. Manitowoc County population
3	is approximately 83,000 people with a workforce of about 44,000. And
4	presently we have a 9 3 percent unemployment rate

Mirro, a Manitowoc employer that formerly had plants in Two Rivers, had over 2,000 employees as recently as 1998. That number today is as if nothing. No jobs. Those jobs are gone, never to return. They're going to Mexico and China.

Another company, Paragon Electric at one time had 1,000 employees in Two Rivers. They left for Mexico in 1998, leaving 330 employees without a job. More recently, Hamilton Industries, a subsidiary of -- Scientific announced a potential layoff of 150 employees. All previous companies relocated to Mexico, or in Hamilton's case has a potential to leave for Mexico.

Power companies do not have the luxury of leaving for Mexico. They are here for the long haul. Point Beach Nuclear Power Plant has been a good corporate citizen by annually contributing to the excellent quality of life for the families right here in Two Rivers.

Getting on to the future, Wisconsin Electrical Power increases on the average of two and a half to three percent per year. If Point Beach Nuclear Power Plant's license is not renewed, its electrical generation capacity would have to be replaced. The likely replacement is some sort of fossil fuel. As air quality becomes more and more of an issue in Wisconsin, especially along the Lake Shore

- which sees much of its pollution, air pollution that is imported, the license renewal of Point Beach Nuclear Power Plant can serve to help
- protect our local environment.

candidate for license renewal.

This process of license renewal is not new for the

Nuclear Regulatory Commission. As the Doctor had indicated before,

Point Beach Nuclear Power is one of 19 active license renewals. As a

matter of fact, 23 have already been approved by the NRC. Our

experience indicates that Point Beach Nuclear Power is an excellent

Finally and in conclusion, the license renewal of Point
Beach Nuclear Power Plant presents a unique opportunity to create a
win-win-win scenario for the rate payers, taxpayers, the state and our
community by: (1) continuously producing less expensive base load
electrical power for all use in an environmentally clean and
responsible manner; (2) preserving hundreds of well-paying jobs that
help attract young, successful people to Wisconsin and the Lake Shore
area; and (3) WE Energies wins by owning and operating Point Beach
Nuclear Power for obviously, for the rate payers and consumers of
electrical power.

The families of Point Beach Nuclear Power have become a vital and vibrant part of our community. They serve on our elected and appointed governmental bodies, volunteer with our civic groups and are important members and leaders of our churches and our children go together. These employees care about the community because they live, work and play here, too. The community has formed a -- of trust with

- Point Beach Nuclear Power because the plant over many years has proven
- 2 to be a good neighbor and an important drive in our local economy.
- We urge the NRC to approve the license renewal for Point
- 4 Beach Nuclear Power in order that all stakeholders can share in the
- 5 win-win-win relationship. If you have any other questions, you
- 6 certainly can contact me at the information here, and the renewal
- 7 resolution is here also.
- 8 MR. ZALCMAN: Thank you.
- 9 MR. CAMERON: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Pawlitzke. We're
- going to go to some officials from the owner of Point Beach and
- 11 operator of Point Beach now to hear about their vision and rationale
- for license renewal. And first of all, we're going to hear from Mr.
- 13 Rick Kuester. And Rick is the President and CEO of WE Energies.
- MR. KUESTER: Yes, I'm the Executive Vice President of
- 15 Wisconsin Energy and President and CEO of the WE Energies Generation
- 16 Group. And thank you, Chip.
- Good evening. Thanks for letting me be here and speak
- on behalf of WE Energies for the license renewal of Point Beach.
- Today, I want to share why we believe that license
- 20 renewal is the most responsible energy choice for the state, for the
- local area and for our customers. As you know, Nuclear Management
- Company which operates the plant on a day-to-day basis on behalf of WE
- 23 Energies applied in February to renew the licenses for the two units
- 24 at Point Beach. Part of that application included an environmental
- 25 report, and we will continue to provide additional information as part

- of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's process to evaluate the

  environmental effects of operating Point Beach for an additional 20

  years. The NRC will use the information that we and others provide to
- 4 develop an environmental impact statement for Point Beach.

Let me just start by giving you a brief overview of the plant. Some of these figures you've heard so bear with me if I go through them twice. The original operating license for Point Beach Unit 1 was issued in October of 1970, and for Unit 2 in March of 1973. The unit licenses expire in 2010 and 2013 respectively. The plant capacity is 1,036 Megawatts of base load energy. Point Beach provides approximately 24 percent of the total energy generated by WE Energies and one-sixth of the total energy generated in the State of Wisconsin.

Wisconsin nuclear plants have an average five-year capacity factor of 79.9 percent which compares very favorably with the national average of 71.9 percent. Just last year, Point Beach set a record for the most megawatt hours of electrical production since it began operation in 1970. So, we had our best year ever last year in terms of electrical production.

At Point Beach, our number one priority is always the health and safety of the public. Point Beach has operated safely and reliably for over 30 years. We are committed to maintaining the high standards of safety and environmental excellence required to operate an additional 20 years.

In the past year, we have faced some challenges at Point Beach. We were working very closely with Nuclear Management Company

and NRC to address the issues raised by the supplemental NRC inspection conducted during the summer of 2003 and other subsequent discussions. Since Point Beach began operating in 1970, there have been many changes in the industry that show the dedication and commitment to safety and security. The industry has not been satisfied with the status quo.

The industry standards and regulations that we abide by and are held accountable to have become more stringent and the inspections more rigorous over the 30-year period that we've been operating. Security has intensified since the tragic events of September 11<sup>th</sup>, 2001. Safely operating a nuclear plant is not one person's job. It can only be achieved by the dedications that our employees show everyday when they walk through that plant gate.

One of my primary responsibilities is to ensure that our customers have safe, reliable and affordable energy that they need.

With Wisconsin's energy demand growing about two and a half to three percent each year, Point Beach is vital to meeting the energy needs of our customers. To meet this need, we have an extensive planning process that takes many factors into account, including fuel, infrastructure capabilities, environmental impact, proximity to the load and cost.

We believe that a reliable and cost effective power supply is best met by maintaining a diverse fuel mix that includes nuclear. Studies have shown that keeping Point Beach in our diverse energy mix will save customers \$475 million in today's dollars over

the next 20 years as compared with replacement power options. If we do not build new generation and transmission facilities and if we do not maintain the existing facilities such as Point Beach, the supply

of affordable, reliable energy will be at risk.

Point Beach has been an essential part of a generation

fleet that has provided WE Energies with the ability to economically

meet the daily generation needs of our customers. License renewal

will allow WE Energies customers to benefit fully from the efficient

power generated by Point Beach for years to come.

Access to economical and reliable generation continues to be a front and center issue for the State of Wisconsin. Fuel diversity is the backbone of our goal to provide affordable energy to our customers while continuing to reduce the environmental impact of our operations. In addition to cost effective energy sources, we must also consider the state's transmission infrastructure. Wisconsin's transmission infrastructure is severely constrained in its ability to transport power into the state and move power within the state.

The geographic location of Point Beach and the load support it supplies in this part of the state are critical to maintaining a stable, reliable power supply to Northeast Wisconsin. The American Transmission Company's current planning assumes that Point Beach licenses will be renewed. Decommissioning the units and supplying electricity from a different and currently undetermined source would introduce significant changes into the needed transmission infrastructure.

1	Point Beach also generates significant economic benefits
2	to the local and state economy. Point Beach provides over 700 full-
3	time family supporting jobs. These families purchase goods and
4	services from local businesses, pay taxes in local communities and
5	contribute to local charities and community organizations. Point
6	Beach is committed to being a good neighbor and fostering continued
7	economic growth in the region. The continued operation of Point Beach
8	is vital to meeting Wisconsin's energy needs. It's important to the
9	local economy and important to more than 700 employees who keep it
10	running everyday safely.

I'd like to thank the comments by the state and local officials, by the NRC for hosting this meeting. And we appreciate the opportunity to participate in this process. Thank you.

I think now Jim Shaw who is the Plant Manager and a Nuclear Management Company employee will come up and speak on behalf of Nuclear Management Company.

MR. SHAW: Good evening. Thank you, Rick.

As plant manager for Point Beach, I'm responsible for the day-to-day operation of the facility on behalf of the Nuclear Management Company. The mission of everyone who works at and supports Point Beach is clear. That mission is safe, reliable and economical operation of the plant, the safety and health of the public and our employees being the number one priority. Our key values include being both a good neighbor and being a strong advocate of the environment in which we operate.

The employees of Point Beach are committed to this mission and those key values. All of our employees go through rigorous training and testing sessions to continuously hone their skills and learn new procedures and information. Absolutely no one is exempt from this process. We continuously improve our training based on advancements in technology, best practices through bench-marking not only from the NMC fleet but other industry plants as well, as well as INPO, and feedback from our employees as they identify better ways to gain the skills and knowledge that they need.

One example of this training is our control room simulator that is used to train and update our operators and staff members. The NRC requires that employees undergo an intensive training program and a qualification program which utilizes the same simulator to receive an NRC operator's license which qualifies an employee to work in the plant's control room. Our operators have already received their operating licenses, are required to spend five to six weeks each year to maintain that license in order to operate the plant. So, it's a continuing process.

We also have extensive processes and detailed procedures that are continuously reviewed and modified to cover every aspect of our operation. There are over 8,800 procedures that cover operations, maintenance, engineering, training, security and emergency response scenarios. In that, our emergency response procedures and drills for example examine just how our employees would react in the event of a real emergency.

1	The emergency plan has only one focus, and that's
2	safety. Safety of the public, safety of our employees and safety of
3	the plant. Emergency response drills are conducted several times a
4	year to test our abilities and carefully examine areas in which we car
5	improve and prevent situations based on a formal plan which is
6	thoroughly reviewed and monitored by federal agencies. The rigorous
7	standards we abide by are set and reviewed thoroughly by the NRC and
8	FEMA, the Federal Emergency Management Agency.

In addition to emergency planning, we also conduct extensive maintenance for the plant. Every 18 months, we undergo a refuel and maintenance outage in which we typically carry out over 2,200 individual inspections and maintenance activities. This is in addition to the ongoing maintenance inspection and testing activities that are performed during the period when the plant is operating at full power.

Over the years, we have continued to invest in a wide range of equipment to take advantage of improved technology and materials to ensure future reliable and safe operation. A significant investment was the steam generator replacement project for Unit 1 in 1984 and Unit 2 in 1996. We have also replaced numerous major components integral to the safe and efficient operation of Point Beach. That would be upgrades in pumps, pipes, valves.

As computer training methods have also evolved, we've been able to broaden the range of training to our workforce. As we move forward, we'll continue to upgrade and improve the equipment and

- 1 technology at Point Beach. Again, that's a continuing and ongoing 2 process.
- 3 Security at nuclear plants across the nation has 4 received increased emphasis and scrutiny since the events of September 5 11th, 2001. Security at Point Beach is no exception to this and we've 6 taken extensive cautions and implemented new policies and procedures 7 to ensure that the safety and well being of the community and our employees is maintained. This includes several million dollars in 8 9 additional resources and new equipment. We will continue to work with 10 the NRC to review and evaluate our security procedures to make certain that the most effective methods are being used in our security 12 systems.

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- The operation of Point Beach today and in the future requires commitment and diligence to the everyday task we all perform. Just last year we set a record and this is what Mr. Kuester was talking about, and the reason I'm saying it again is we're really proud of this. We set a record for most megawatt hours of electrical power produced by the plant since it began operation in 1970. That's not only attributed to improved processes and efficiencies but to the plant employees themselves. Last year, the NRC conducted a special inspection and identified several areas for improvement at Point Beach. We submitted an improvement plan to the NRC and are committed to meet or exceed all the requirements of that plan.
- Point Beach is a strong supporter of the environment. We take great strides in our daily activities to ensure that the

environment is well protected. Our employees feel fortunate that

Point Beach is located on the shores of Lake Michigan. The site is

home to numerous wildlife, aquatic species and plant life. Our

efforts have made Point Beach a safe and sound habitat for many years

and it is our commitment to maintain that habitat for many years to

come.

- On a different note and as previously noted by other speakers, Point Beach is more than a power plant operated by highly skilled workers. It's part of our community. Not only does the plant rely on many local companies for goods and services, but our employees love and contribute in the surrounding communities.
- In addition, the Point Beach Energy Information Center has been visited by almost one million people since it opened in May of 1969. The Energy Information Center has provided educational programs for more than 300,000 of these visitors. Most of these are school groups, most of them are local school groups that have made our energy center a staple in their curriculum. We continue to host school groups and other organizations through reservations.
- NMC remains committed to operating Point Beach safely, reliably and economically and will remain focused on being a good neighbor and a strong advocate of our environment. I and the rest of the employees at Point Beach look forward to serving you and meeting the needs of the community for many years to come. Thank you.
- 24 MR. CAMERON: Okay, thank you. Again, Dan, thank you,
  25 Rick, for those words. And we're next going to go to Mr. Dan Rahlf

- 1 from International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers. Hi, Mr. Rahlf.
- MR. RAHLF: Hello, everybody. I'm actually here
- 3 speaking not on behalf of the International Brotherhood of Electrical
- 4 Workers but actually as a community member. I live about 12 miles
- 5 from Point Beach Nuclear Plant.
- I spent six years in the nuclear navy prior to starting
- 7 work there and I've got 18 years working at Point Beach. I started
- 8 out in operations and I now work in the maintenance area as a mechanic
- 9 electrician doing preventative and corrective maintenance at Point
- 10 Beach.
- 11 We represent at Point Beach 350 members, the
- 12 International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers does, and I'm proud
- and they are all proud to work at Point Beach. We also are proud to
- 14 be living in the communities we live in here and feel like we're a
- real asset to the community. We've been producing safe, reliable,
- environmentally friendly power for 30 years now, and I believe the
- 17 right thing to do is have a license extension. Thank you.
- 18 MR. CAMERON: And thank you, Mr. Rahlf. Does anybody
- else have a comment or statement for us? Mr. Nikolai? Do you want to
- come up here to the podium.
- MR. NIKOLAI: No.
- MR. CAMERON: Okay. Let me give you this microphone.
- Here you are.
- 24 MR. NIKOLAI: I'd like to make one more comment about
- 25 the necessity for renewing the license of Point Beach Plant for the

- next 20 years. And I think that the history of available electricity
  in the United States in the last five or six years to me strongly
  indicates that if you have to buy electricity from brokers, your cost
  is just going to skyrocket. And I've seen some of the instances and
  when you look at California and you look at sometimes even Wisconsin,
- 6 we had to buy that electricity, our cost just didn't go up here ten
- 7 percent. That went up like 30, 40, 50 percent from brokers.
- 8 And I like the philosophy of -- I'm sorry, Mr.
- 9 President, but the Southern Company which I owned some stock and then
- 10 you left -- but their philosophy has been to get away from the
- 11 brokerage business and get back into the business of supplying
- adequate power to our state and to our community. And that's all I
- have to say. I think it's necessary that we maintain this plant.
- MR. CAMERON: Okay, thank you, Mr. Nikolai. And that
- 15 was Mr. John Nikolai. All right. Anybody else have a comment or any
- 16 questions for the NRC staff at all?
- 17 Okay. Well, thank you very much. And I'm going to ask
- John Tappert to close the meeting for us. John?
- 19 MR. TAPPERT: I'd just like to thank everyone again for
- 20 coming out here and taking time out of your evening to share your
- 21 thoughts with us. As Barry said earlier, our comment period is open
- 22 until July 14th and you have our contact information if you want to
- 23 share any further thoughts with us. So, thanks again for coming.
- 24 Drive home safely and have a good evening.
- 25 (Whereupon the meeting was concluded at 8:07 p.m.)

#### CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the attached proceedings before the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission in the matter of:

Name of Proceeding: Point Beach License Renewal

Public Meeting

Evening Session

Docket Number:

n/a

Location:

Mishicot, WI

were held as herein appears, and that this is the original transcript thereof for the file of the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission taken by me and, thereafter reduced to typewriting by me or under the direction of the court reporting company, and that the transcript is a true and accurate record of the foregoing proceedings.

Stuart Karoubas Official Reporter

Neal R. Gross & Co., Inc.

# RESOLUTION SUPPORTING LICENSE RENEWAL FOR POINT BEACH NUCLEAR POWER PLANT UNITS 1 AND 2

WHEREAS, the electric generating facilities at the Point Beach Nuclear Power Plant became operational with the start-up of Unit 1 in December 1970 and Unit 2 in March 1973; and

WHEREAS, Point Beach has since that time operated safely and efficiently, providing economical, reliable electrical energy vital to Wisconsin's economy; and

WHEREAS, Wisconsin Energy Corporation, as owner of Point Beach, has continually reinvested in the facility, to assure continued efficient and economical production of electricity for Wisconsin's homes, factories and businesses;

WHEREAS, today, over three decades later, the Point Beach plant's 1036 megawatts of electrical generating capacity remain vital to Wisconsin's energy future; and

WHEREAS, Point Beach Plant in 2003, its thirty-first year of full operation, generated a record 8.1 million megawatt hours of electricity; and

WHEREAS, the Point Beach plant's 700 permanent jobs, as well as its extensive use of contractors for ongoing maintenance and special projects are recognized as vitally important to the economy of Manitowoc County and northeast Wisconsin; and

WHEREAS, the nuclear power facilities at Point Beach have been a "good neighbor" to the communities of Manitowoc County for over three decades;

WHEREAS, Wisconsin Energy Corporation in December of 2003 announced its intent to proceed with an application to renew the licenses of the Point Beach reactors, each for an additional twenty years; and

WHEREAS, Nuclear Management Company, operator of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant for Wisconsin Energy Corporation, on February 25, 2004 submitted the license renewal application to the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC); and

WHEREAS, the NRC, as the Federal agency charged with oversight of our nation's nuclear facilities, encourages public input and comment on such license renewal proceedings;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the City of Two Rivers hereby expresses its support for the renewal of the licenses for the nuclear generating facilities at Point Beach, to assure their continued operation as a safe, economical and integrally important component of Wisconsin's electric power supply system for another 20 years; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the City Manager is hereby directed to forward copies of this resolution to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, the Wisconsin Public Service Commission, Governor James Doyle, Senator Alan Lasee, Senator Joe Liebham, Representative Frank Lasee and Representative Bob Ziegelbauer and other agencies and officeholders concerned with providing safe, reliable and economical energy sources for the future of the Wisconsin economy; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that copies of this resolution likewise be forwarded to Chairman of the Board & CEO Richard A. Abdoo, President Gale E. Klappa, and other officials of Wisconsin Energy Corporation, in evidence of this community's support for the utility's continued investment in and operation of the Point Beach facility.

Approved this 5th day of April, 2004.

Councilmember

Gregory E. Buelley, City Manage



### TWO RIVERS BUSINESS ASSOCIATION

June 14, 2004

RE: License Renewal for Point Beach Nuclear Plant

To Whom It May Concern:

The Two Rivers Business Association would like to go on record as to being in favor of We Energies' request for the License Renewal of the two nuclear reactors at Point Beach Nuclear Plant.

There are many reasons why we feel it is important that Point Beach's License Renewal be granted. The first are obvious and the most practical. Point Beach now produces 1/6 of all the electrical power in Wisconsin. And the demand for that energy is growing at 2 to 3 % per year. Where would we go and what would we do to make up this 16 to 17 % of our energy needs should Point Beach be closed? Building new plants would certainly drive up the cost of our electricity. Going out of state for it would also.

We Energies estimates through its studies that keeping Point Beach open would save customers over \$400 million. That is a huge economic impact for the state of Wisconsin.

Our local economy in the Lakeshore Area would suffer even more if Point Beach Nuclear Plant were closed. In fact, I know it would be devasting for our local economy, one that has been hit hard recently with other manufacturing plant closings. Point Beach employs 730 people; 69% live in Manitowoc County.

For the most part, I don't think the people of Two Rivers have safety and environmental concerns regarding Point Beach Nuclear Plant. The people who work at the plant are also our neighbors and friends. And they would no more put their own families in danger than they would anyone else's. The Plant is run well, it is run efficiently, and it is run with extreme safety in mind at all times.

We Energies and the people who work there have always been good neighbors. The people who work there are members of our churches and church councils, our schools and our school boards, our city and city council and civic committees. They shop in our stores, they buy homes, and they all pay taxes. To lose them would be devastating for our community.



## TWO RIVERS BUSINESS ASSOCIATION

We Energies as a company has also been a good neighbor. They purchase goods for the plant and their employees locally whenever they can. We Energies supports many local charities and events. It is a major sponsor for Two Rivers very popular Ethnic Festival.

Thus, we urge you for all the above reasons to grant We Energies their License Renewal for Point Beach Nuclear Plant.

Sincerely,

Tim Schroeder

Secretary/Treasurer

Two Rivers Business Association

Secretary/Treasurer Schroeder's Department Store

### VILLAGE OF MISHICOT

State of Wisconsin Manitowoc County

#### RESOLUTION SUPPORTING LICENSE RENEWAL FOR POINT BEACH NUCLEAR POWER PLANT UNITS 1 AND 2

511 E. Main St. P. O. Box 385 Mishicot, WI 54228-0385 Telephone: 920.755.2525 Fax: 920.755.2525 e-mail vmishicot@lakefield.net www.mishicot.org

WHEREAS, the electric generating facilities at the Point Beach Nuclear Power Plant became operational with the start-up of Unit 1 in December 1970 and Unit 2 in March 1973, and

WHEREAS, Point Beach has since that time operated safely and efficiently, providing economical, reliable electrical energy vital to Wisconsin's economy, and

WHEREAS, Wisconsin energy corporation, as owner of Point Beach, has continually reinvested in the facility, to assure continued efficient and economical production of electricity for Wisconsin's homes, factories and businesses, and

WHEREAS, today over three decades later, the Point Beach plant's 1036 megawatts of electrical generating capacity remain vital to Wisconsin's energy future, and

WHEREAS, Point Beach in 2003, its thirty-first year of full operation generated a record 8.1 million megawatt hours of electricity, and

WHEREAS, the Point Beach Plant's 700 permanent jobs, as well as its extensive use of contractors for ongoing maintenance and special projects are recognized as vitally important to the economy of Manitowoc County and northeast Wisconsin, and

WHEREAS, the nuclear power facilities at Point Beach have been a "good neighbor" to the communities of Manitowoc County for over three decades, and

WHEREAS, Wisconsin Energy Corporation in December of 2003 announced its intent to proceed with an application to renew the license of the Point Beach reactors, each for an additional twenty years, and

WHEREAS, Nuclear Management Company, operator of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant for Wisconsin Energy Corporation, on February 25, 2004 submitted the license renewal application to the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), and

WHEREAS, the NRC, as the Federal agency charged with oversight of our nation's nuclear facilities, encourages public input and comment on such license renewal proceedings; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the Village of Mishicot hereby expresses its support for the renewal of the licenses for the nuclear generating facilities at Point Beach, to assure their continued operation as a safe, economical and integrally important component of Wisconsin's electric power supply system for another 20 years.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Village Clerk is hereby directed to forward copies of this resolution to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, the Wisconsin Public Service Commission, Governor James Doyle, Lieutenant Governor Barbara Lawton, Senator Herbert Kohl, Senator Russ Feingold, Representative Tom Petri, Representative Mark Green, Senator Alan Lasee, Senator Joe Liebham, Senator Dave Hansen, Senator Robert Cowles, Representative Frank Lasee, Representative Bob Ziegelbauer, Representative Becky Weber, Representative Phil Montgomery and other agencies and officeholders concerned with providing safe, reliable and economical energy sources for the future of the Wisconsin economy.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that copies of this resolution be forwarded to Chairman of the Board and CEO Richard A. Abdoo, President Gale E. Klappa, and other officials of Wisconsin Energy Corporation, in evidence of the Village of Mishicot's support for the utility's continued investment in and operation of the Point Beach facility.

Presented by: And Manselle

Seconded by: Seconded by: Clarence P. Meyer, Village President

Attest:

James Bydalek, Village Clerk-Treasurer

I, James Bydalek, Clerk-Treasurer of the Village of Mishicot do hereby certify that the above resolution was duly adopted by a unanimous vote of the Village Board of the Village of Mishicot on the 4th day of May, 2004.

James Bydalek, Village Clerk-Treasurer

#### **Council Manager Government Since 1924**



Economic Development 1717 East Park Street Post Office Box 87 Two Rivers WI 54241-0087

Telephone . . . . . . 920/793-5565 FAX . . . . . . . . 920/793-5563

Point Beach License Renewal June 15, 2004, Mishicot, WI

#### Introduction

The City of Two Rivers offers its support for Point Beach Nuclear Power (PBNP) plant License Renewal for Unit 1, which needs to be renewed by October 5, 2010 and Unit 2 which needs to be renewed by March 8, 2013. The City of Two Rivers has passed a Resolution of Support of PBNP License Renewal dated April 5, 2004. Such Resolution of support is attached from the Two Rivers City Council.

#### **Past**

- PBNP has called Two Rivers home since 1969 and has come to be vital to our economy and lifestyle.
- PBNP initially started in 1969 with about 100 employees and has seen a 700% increase in its' workforce to the existing 700 employees in 2004. Energy production is a significant employer in our community now and hopefully will be well into the future. These are high quality jobs that are hard to find in today's economy.
- Nuclear energy in general and Point Beach in particular, have proven to be safe, efficient and an economical source of electricity.

#### Present

- The City "fathers" who are the Leaders and Decision-makers of the Community find that PBNP has operated safely and efficiently and provides clean affordable nuclear generated electrical power to our homes, businesses and factories.
- PBNP is an environmentally friendly source of electrical base load power as compared to other forms of electrical generation.
- PBNP generates 1,036 Mega Watts of electrical power, which is 24% of we energies generation and almost 17% of all power in the state of Wisconsin.
- The state as a whole can not afford to loose 17% of its' total capacity.
- The economic impact of 700 employees can be felt in the local communities where they live. 69% of the PBNP employees live in Manitowoc County. Of that amount 32% live in Two Rivers and 24% live in Manitowoc, 8% in Mishicot.
- Manitowoc County has been hit hard on the economic front by having factories close at a fast pace. The Manitowoc County a population of 83,000 with a workforce of about 44,000 and a 9.3% unemployment rate. Mirro, a Manitowoc employer that formerly had plants in Two Rivers, had over 2,000 employees as recently as 1998. Today that number is zip, nada. Those jobs are gone, never to



return. Paragon Electric at one time has 1,000 employees. They left for Mexico in 1998 leaving 330 employees without a job. More recently Hamilton, a subsidiary of Fisher Scientific announced a potential layoff of 150 employees. All the previous companies relocated to Mexico or in Hamilton's case has the potential to leave for Mexico. Power companies do not have the luxury of leaving for Mexico. They are here for the long haul.

PBNP has been a "good corporate citizen" by annually contributing to the excellent quality of life for the families here in Two Rivers.

#### **Future**

- Wisconsin electrical power increases on average 2 ½ % to 3% per year.
- If PBNP's license is not renewed, its' electrical generation capacity will have to be replaced. The likely replacement is some sort of fossil fuel. As air quality becomes more and more of an issue in Wisconsin and especially along the Lakeshore which sees much of it's' pollution imported; License Renewal of PBNP cab serve to help protect our local environment.
- This process of License Renewal is not new for the nuclear regulatory Commission (NRC). PBNP is one of 19 active license renewal cases. As a matter of fact 23 License Renewals have already been approved by the NRC. Our experience indicates the PBNP is an excellent candidate for license renewal.

#### Conclusion

The License Renewal of PBNP presents a unique opportunity to create a win-win-win scenario for the rate payers, taxpayers, the state and our community by:

- 1. Continuously producing less expensive base load electrical power for all to use in an environmentally clean and responsible manor.
- 2. Preserving hundreds of well-paying jobs that help attract young and successful people to Wisconsin and the Lakeshore area.
- 3. we energies wins by owning and operating PBNP.

The families of PBNP have become a vital and vibrant part of our community. They serve on our elected and appointed government bodies, volunteer with our civic groups, are important members and leaders of our churches and our children go to school together. These employees care about the community because they live, work and play here too. The community has formed a bond of trust with PBNP because the plant, over many years, has proven to be a good neighbor and an important driver in our economy. We urge the NRC to approve the License Renewal for PBNP in order that all stakeholders can share in the win-win-win relationship.

If you have any further comments or questions you may contact me at 920/793-5564 or email at danpaw@two-rivers.org.

Sincerely,

**Economic Development Supervisor** Ecdev/PowerPlant/PBNP.LisenseRenewal2004.doc